State of the art Modelling

DynGraph project ANR ANR-10-JCJC-0202

March 9, 2011

1 Static models

Existing static graph models mainly follow two approaches. Random modelling consists in randomly sampling, with uniform probability, a graph among the set of those that have a given property, see for instance [2, 4, 8, 12, 17]. Other models take the form of an iterative construction process that eventually produces a graph with the desired properties, see for instance [1, 7, 9, 16, 19, 14]. Currently there is no consensus concerning existing models: they all have their own advantages and drawbacks, and none emerges as better than all the others.

2 Dynamic models

The main trend in dynamic network modelling has been developed in the context of ad-hoc networks, i.e. networks of wireless nodes carried by user, which can communicate only when they are close to each other. Many models have been developed for the mobility of users, in order to perform simulations for communication protocols, see for instance [3, 5].

There exist very few strong results that propose dynamic models of interaction networks, based on the observed properties of complex networks. Most of them attempt to reproduce characteristics observed in real-world networks, such as contact or inter contact durations [6, 10, 18].

The Community Guided Attachment (CGA) model [16] is a hierarchical graph generation model, in which the linkage probability between nodes decreases as a function of their relative distance in the hierarchy. [11] proposes a blog model that reproduces temporal as well as structural patterns observed in blog networks. [13] proposes a model for individual blogs which generalizes the preferential attachment model.

Finally, [15] proposes an attempt at random models in the case of dynamic graphs, by keeping the existing links of an existing network, together with the time at which they were created, and randomizing link destinations.

References

- A.-L. Barábasi and R. Albert. Emergence of scaling in random networks. Science, 286:509–512, 1999.
- [2] E. Bender and E. Canfield. The asymptotic number of labelled graphs with given degree sequences. J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 24:296–307, 1978.
- [3] C. Bettstetter. A random Mobility Model for Simulation of Wireless Networks. In ceedings of the 4th ACM International Workshop on Modeling, Analysis, and Simulation of Wireless and Mobile Systems (MSWIM), 2001.
- [4] B. Bollobás. Random Graphs. Academic Press, 1985.
- [5] T. Camp, J. Bolent, and V. Davies. A Survey of Mobility Models for Ad Hoc Network Research. Wireless Communications & Mobile Computing (WCMC): Special issue on Mobile Ad Hoc Networking: Research, Trends and Applications, 2(5), 2002.
- [6] Vania Conan, Jérémie Leguay, and Timur Friedman. Characterizing pairwise inter-contact patterns in delay tolerant networks. In Conference on Autonomic Computing and Communication Systems (ACM Autonomics 2007), 2007. Invited Paper.
- [7] S.N. Dorogovtsev, J.F.F. Mendes, and A. Samukhin. Structure of growing networks with preferential linking. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85, pages 4633–4636, 2000.
- [8] P. Erdös and A. Rényi. On random graphs I. Publ. Math. Debrecen, 6:290– 297, 1959.
- [9] A. Fabrikant, E. Koutsoupias, and C.H. Papadimitriou. Heuristically optimized trade-offs: A new paradigm for power laws in the internet. In *ICALP*, 2002.
- [10] E. Fleury, J.-L. Guillaume, C. Robardet, and A. Scherrer. Tools for the analysis of evolving sensor networks. In *Intl. Conf. on COMmunication* System softWAre and middlewaRE, 2007.
- [11] Michaela Goetz, Jure Leskovec, Mary McGlohon, and Christos Faloutsos. Modeling Blog Dynamics. In AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media (ICWSM), 2009.
- [12] J.-L. Guillaume and M. Latapy. Bipartite structure of all complex networks. Information Processing Letters, 90(5):215–221, 2004.
- [13] A. Karandikar, A. Java, A. Joshi, T. Finin, Y. Yesha, and Y. Yesha. Second Space: A Generative Model For The Blogosphere. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Weblogs and Social Media (ICWSM 2008)*, 2008.
- [14] J. M. Kleinberg, R. Kumar, P. Raghavan, S. Rajagopalan, and A. S. Tomkins. The Web as a graph: Measurements, models, and methods. In *Proceedings of COCOON*, 1999.

- [15] Ravi Kumar, Jasmine Novak, Prabhakar Raghavan, and Andrew Tomkins. On the Bursty Evolution of Blogspace. World Wide Web, 8(2):159–178, June 2005.
- [16] Jure Leskovec, Deepayan Chakrabarti, Jon Kleinberg, and Christos Faloutsos. Realistic, mathematically tractable graph generation and evolution, using kronecker multiplication. In ECML/PKDD 2005, 2005.
- [17] T. Luczak. Sparse random graphs with a given degree sequence, in Random Graphs, vol. 2. A.M. Frieze, T. Luczak eds. Wiley, New York, 1992. pages. 165-182.
- [18] A. Scherrer, P. Borgnat, E. Fleury, J.-L. Guillaume, and C. Robardet. Description and simulation of dynamic mobility networks. *Computer Network*, 52:2842–2858, 2008.
- [19] D. Watts and S. Strogatz. Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. *Nature*, 393:440–442, June 1998.